

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Tradomark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

081 00,540				
SERIAL NUMBER FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
OCTION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	01/13/97	ROSE	S	43/24

HM22/0816

JOHN Q MCQUILLAN 261 MADISON AVENUE 12TH FLOOR NEW YORK NY 10016-2391

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

EX	AMINER
UNGA	R,S
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1642 1642	
DATE MAILED:	08/16/99

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

b) Ospires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and also the date for the expurposes of determining the period of extension and the oversponding amount of the lea. Any obtained by the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the exponse and also the date for the purposed response and response and also the date for the purposed response and response and also the date for the purposed response and response or as set forth in by above. Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed flowers. 1. Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed flowers. 1. The proposed amendments to the claim and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d They are not deemed to place the application in botter form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Base The proposed amendment will be entered will be applicated in a separately field minimism cancelling in the non-allowable claims. 2. When the proposed amendment will be entered will be applicated in a separately field minimism cancelling in the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be considered but does not overcome the rejection because Part of th		a) [is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejec	tion
The date on which the response, the period of extension and the feet have been filed is the date of the response and also the date of the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the feet. Provided the purpose of the final rejection of the theory of the period for response or as set forth in b) above. Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed then 21 1972 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: The proposed amendments to the claim and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed then 21 1972 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: The proposed amendments to the claim and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed then 21 1972 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: The proposed amendments to the claim and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: Applicant's response to the final rejection stands because in the specific proposed amendment in the specific proposed amendment in security and the specific proposed deciming the proposed of amendment in the specific proposed of amendment in the specific proposed of amendment in the specific proposed of a proposed of amendment in the specific proposed of amendment in the specific proposed of amendment in the specific proposed of a proposed of amendment in the specific proposed of the specific proposed of the specific proposed of the specific proposed deciming the specific proposed of the specific proposed of the specific proposed		b) [2	expires three months from the date of the event however, will the statutory period	he final rejection or as of the of the for the response expire later	mailing date of this Advisory Action, which than six months from the date of the final	ever is later. In no rejection.
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed May 35 1772, has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.118(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. Description of the proposed that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). Compared to the proposed of new matter. (See Note). Compared to place the application in botter form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. Compared to the proposed disms without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Laise the possess of reach of the proposed amendment of the proposed disms. The proposed of amended claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. Note: Laise the possess of reach of the proposed amendment of the proposed dismonths and the proposed dismonths are proposed dismonths and the proposed dismonths are proposed amendment of the proposed dismonths are proposed amendment will be entered of will not be entered and the status of the daims will be as follows: Claims allowed:				The date on which the response, the period of e	etition, and the fee have been extension and the correspondi	n filed is the date of the response and also ing amount of the fee. Any extension fee p	the date for the oursuant to 37 CFR
to place the application in condition for allowance: 1. The proposed amendments to the claim and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d They are not deemed to place the application in botter form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: lates the issue of reward to place the application in botter form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. 8. NOTE: lates the issue of reward to place the application in botter form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. 8. NOTE: lates the issue of reward to remark the interest of the place of the interest of the place of the interest of the inter		_					
a There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Passe the same of reward former of the present of the pr			App to p	dicant's response to the final rejection, fi lace the application in condition for allow	led <u>Way 25, 1999</u> has wance:	been considered with the following effect,	but it is not deemed
presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Passe the issue of new individual claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Passe the issue of new individual claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Passe the issue of new individual claims without cancelling and the standard of the consideration and individual claims. I the constant of the individual claims without cancelling and individual claims individual claims. 3. Voon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): cantered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be asserted in the standard of the claims of the		ا. ا					
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Later the power of remaining the instance of the season of the seas					inder 37 CFR 1.116(b) why th	e proposed amendment is necessary and	was not earlier
d hey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Lause the same of the present of the presented of				b. They raise new issues that would	require further consideration	and/or search. (See Note).	
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Large the 155000 of consisteration of 112 and imperiod in lepth 71 10, Section (LK). Small of claim 71 to result "moderal broaders The Scours requires addition of claims of the moderal to some flowers of the status of the claims will some be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims allowed: Claims rejected: Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's response has ove				_ ;			
NOTE: laise the 150 section of provided the production of 112 had layered in form 71 10, section the formulation of them 71 to reach "model broadens the store a granting adding search of the proposed of a model of the proposed					ne application in better form for	or appeal by materially reducing or simplify	ring the issues for
Smeadown of the 71 to rest "medical broadonaths stores a regime about found of the stores" to more by different on amount of found to the stores of the store of the stores of the store				e. They present additional claims w	vithout cancelling a correspon	ding number of finally rejected claims.	•
Claims allowed: Claims objected to: 19 Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection of the following rejection(s): Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection of the following rejection(s): Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection following rejection(s): Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection following rejection(s): Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection following rejection(s): Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection following rejection following rejection following rejection following rejection following rejection fol	An ele anende	men en ed c	الح الحالة التالية	Reference to more than 1 the phone of the phone of the more of the phone of the pho	would be	dona The Scope of requirements of local in a series of	recoors, bentter
Claims allowed:		3.			d amendment 🔲 will be ent	ered will not be entered and the status	s of the claims will been a
Claims rejected: 69-83 However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): entered Ryction of Chins 69 work 35 05c Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): entered Ryction of Chins 69 work 35 05c Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): entered Ryction of Chins 69 work 35 05c Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection (s): entered Ryction of Chins 69 work 35 05c Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection to Section of Chins 69 work				Claims allowed:		vooriginally &	ila
However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Entered Ruetin of Chines 69 whose 35 OSC Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Entered Ruetin of Chines 69 whose 35 OSC Ruetin of Chines 69 whose 35 OSC A para with drawn as drawn to Rypetra w Paper 10 Sections 69 to					>		•
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier and presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examinor. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examinor. PANTALL MODEL Section 11, pages.				· ·			Claims ware to be
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because			. 1				objection to Chan'
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier \$3 3 Judy presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Other	112 para	ن <i>زو</i> . 4.	ەيد ر□				ما کا اعداد الله کا الله ما الله کام
presented. 35° USC 112 Strat par agraph 100 Paper # 015 Other Other				See attacked			The rejection
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other ☐ Other ☐ Scction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other ☐ Scction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other ☐ Scction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other ☐ Scction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other ☐ Scction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other ☐ Scction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other ☐ Scction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other ☐ Scction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other ☐ Scction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other ☐ Scction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other ☐ Scction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other ☐ Scction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other ☐ Scction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other ☐ Scction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Scction ☐ has ☐ ha		5.			dered because applicant has	not shown good and sufficent reasons wh	
Other Section 1 Pages							· · · / ;
OHERON COOK BATTOT THANKING		\equiv			has not been approved	by the examiner.	' /
			Oth	er		(PAULATIC MOTZEUL	<i>,. y</i>